Issues in Social and Environmental Accounting
ISSN 1978-0591 (Paper)
ISSN 2460-6081 (Online)
Vol 19, No. 5 2025
Pp. 1-12

https://iseaicseard.com

Analyzing Risk: Developing Resilience and Adaptability in The Dynamic Business Environment

Abdul Raof Basir

Adibah Jamaluddin

Faculty of Accountancy, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Cawangan Selangor, Kampus Puncak Alam, Selangor, Malaysia

Vani Tanggamani [1*]

Faculty of Accountancy, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Cawangan Melaka, Kampus Alor Gajah, Melaka, Malaysia

Abstract

This study explores the critical role of understanding risk and defining it as the process of identifying, assessing, and evaluating potential risks. Resilience and adaptability, characterized by absorptive, adaptive, and restorative capacities, are discussed as interconnected concepts. The coal-based electricity generation company, Kapar Energy Ventures Sdn Bhd, is used to illustrate the practical implementation of risk analysis, particularly in light of environmental, social, and governance (ESG) challenges to enhance decision-making, competitive advantages, sustainable business practices, increase stakeholder confidence and business continuity. Sustainability is explored in the context of risk management, emphasizing the link between risk management and sustainable practices. The challenges faced by KEVSB in transitioning from coal-based energy to renewable sources are acknowledged, with a recognition that extensive investments are required for full sustainability. Business continuity is presented as closely related to risk analysis, with both complementing each other in ensuring organizational resilience. However, organizational resilience and adaptability go beyond risk analysis and encompass organizational culture, leadership, planning, and change management. Building resilience requires a comprehensive approach that considers investing in employees, fostering innovation, building relationships, and maintaining financial flexibility contribute to organizational resilience and adaptability.

Keywords**:** *adaptability; building resilience; environmental,risk analysis; social, and governance (ESG); sustainability*

[1] Corresponding author Email: vani@uitm.edu.my

INTRODUCTION

Analyzing risk and developing resilience and adaptability in a dynamic business environment constitute a critical component of contemporary business strategy. In today's increasingly volatile and uncertain landscape, organizations are continuously exposed to a wide range of risks arising from rapid technological advancements, economic fluctuations, regulatory changes, environmental concerns, and global crises such as pandemics or geopolitical conflicts. These factors create both opportunities for growth and significant threats to organizational stability, requiring businesses to move beyond reactive approaches and adopt proactive, systematic risk management practices.

Risk analysis plays a central role in this process by enabling organizations to identify, assess, and prioritize potential threats and uncertainties that may affect their strategic objectives, operational performance, and long-term sustainability (Crawford & Jabbour, 2024). Through structured evaluation techniques, organizations can better understand the likelihood and potential impact of various risks, allowing them to make informed decisions and allocate resources more efficiently. This, in turn, enhances organizational resilience by strengthening the ability to anticipate disruptions, absorb shocks, and recover effectively from adverse events. At the same time, risk analysis supports adaptability by encouraging flexibility, continuous learning, and strategic adjustments in response to changing environmental conditions.

The coal-based electricity generation sector serves as a useful illustrative example of how risk analysis contributes to resilience and adaptability in practice. This sector operates within a highly complex and evolving environment characterized by fluctuating fuel prices, stringent environmental regulations, technological innovation in renewable energy, and growing societal pressure to reduce carbon emissions. By conducting comprehensive risk analyses, coal-based power producers can evaluate operational risks such as supply chain disruptions, financial risks linked to market volatility, and strategic risks associated with energy transition policies. These insights enable organizations to develop mitigation strategies, such as diversifying energy portfolios, investing in cleaner technologies, or enhancing operational efficiency, thereby improving their capacity to remain viable and competitive.

Overall, the literature consistently highlights that effective risk analysis extends beyond a purely defensive or compliance-oriented function and serves as a strategic mechanism that underpins long-term organizational resilience and adaptability. When systematically integrated into core decision-making processes, risk analysis enables organizations to anticipate potential disruptions, evaluate alternative courses of action, and align strategic choices with an informed understanding of uncertainty. This proactive approach enhances an organization's ability to respond swiftly and effectively to emerging threats (Dahmen, 2023), while also capitalizing on new opportunities arising from changes in the external environment. Furthermore, risk analysis supports continuous learning by encouraging organizations to reflect on past experiences, monitor evolving risk factors, and adjust strategies accordingly. As a result, businesses are better positioned to sustain performance, maintain competitive advantage, and achieve long-term objectives within an increasingly complex, volatile, and dynamic business environment.

Risk analysis in practices

To gain a deeper understanding of the practical implementation of risk analysis, let's delve into the dynamic business environment faced by Kapar Energy Ventures Sdn

Bhd, a prominent coal-based power generation company in developing its resilience and adaptability. A little introduction about Kapar Energy Ventures Sdn Bhd as follows;

Kapar Energy Ventures Sdn Bhd (KEV) is a major player in Malaysia's power generation sector and is best known as the owner and operator of the Sultan Salahuddin Abdul Aziz Power Station, commonly referred to as the Kapar Power Station. Located in Kapar, Selangor, this facility has long been one of the largest coal-based power plants in the country, playing a crucial role in supporting national electricity supply and energy security. As an independent power producer, KEV operates within a highly regulated and competitive energy market, where operational efficiency, regulatory compliance, and reliability of supply are paramount.

Within this context, Kapar Energy Ventures must continuously balance operational performance with long-term strategic considerations. The need to maintain plant reliability and cost competitiveness while adapting to environmental and policy shifts highlights the importance of systematic risk analysis. By identifying and assessing operational, financial, regulatory, and environmental risks, KEV can strengthen its resilience against disruptions and enhance its adaptability to industry transitions. Consequently, the company serves as a relevant and practical case for examining how risk analysis supports organizational resilience and adaptability in a dynamic and increasingly uncertain business environment.

Kapar Energy Ventures Sdn Bhd (KEVSB) operates within a highly complex and risk-intensive segment of the energy industry, where operational reliability, regulatory compliance, environmental stewardship, and market volatility are constant considerations. As a strategic subsidiary jointly owned by Tenaga Nasional Berhad (60%) and Malakoff Corporation Berhad (40%), KEVSB plays a significant role in supporting Malaysia's national electricity supply. With an installed generating capacity of 2,200 megawatts, it stands as the second largest thermal power plant in the country, underscoring its importance to national energy security and grid stability.

As a coal-based electricity generation, KEV SB is facing numerous challenges from many angles. Currently, KEVSB has been pressured by the development of ESG which become a hot topic nowadays.

"Under the 2015 Paris Agreement, the global community committed itself to keeping global warming well below 2.0 °C (UNFCCC, 2015). In its 2018 report, the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) stresses the potential impacts of global warming greater than 1.5 °C above the pre-industrial levels. It endorses the obligations set within the Paris Agreement to keep global warming below 2.0 °C and, at best, to limit it to 1.5 °C (IPCC, 2018). One step toward this end is to phase out coal -fired power generation (Stephen Zhao□, Alan Alexandroff, n.d.). Due to this agreement, all countries who are members of the UNFCCC must be committed to implementing this agenda. As a result, in August 2023 the government of Malaysia produced the National Energy Transition Roadmap (Ministry of Economy Malaysia, 2023) as a significant milestone for Malaysia, which comprehensively charts our nation's trajectory towards a brighter, cleaner, and more resilient future".

The emergence of Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) considerations as a dominant force in the global business landscape has triggered significant responses from a wide range of stakeholders, including regulators, investors, lenders, and insurers. These stakeholders increasingly view ESG performance not merely as a compliance requirement but as a critical indicator of long-term business sustainability

and risk exposure. Consequently, organizations operating in environmentally sensitive industries, such as coal-based power generation, face intensifying scrutiny and escalating operational and financial pressures.

Regulatory authorities, such as Malaysia's Department of Environment (DOE), have begun enforcing more stringent environmental regulations, particularly with respect to greenhouse gas emissions and pollution control. These regulations are accompanied by stricter monitoring mechanisms and substantial penalties for non-compliance, thereby increasing regulatory risk for coal-fired power plants. At the same time, the financial community has aligned its investment decisions with ESG principles. Shareholders and institutional investors are exerting pressure on banks and financial institutions to divest from coal-related assets, which has resulted in reduced access to capital, tighter financing conditions, and, in some cases, demands for early loan repayments. This shift significantly elevates liquidity and refinancing risks for coal-based energy operators.

In parallel, insurers are responding to the ESG agenda by reassessing their exposure to high-carbon industries. Many insurance providers have imposed embargoes or coverage limitations on coal-related businesses, leading to a sharp increase in insurance premiums and, in some cases, difficulties in securing comprehensive coverage. These developments heighten operational vulnerability and underscore the growing interdependence between ESG performance and risk management. International policy trends further amplify these pressures, as illustrated by Germany's commitment to phase out all coal-based power generation by 2030 (Breitenstein et al., 2021). Such global initiatives signal a broader transition away from coal, reinforcing the long-term transition risks faced by companies in this sector.

Collectively, these developments highlight the increasingly complex and dynamic operating environment confronting Kapar Energy Ventures Sdn Bhd (KEVSB). The convergence of regulatory, financial, and reputational risks driven by ESG imperatives necessitates a robust and proactive approach to risk analysis. Effective risk analysis enables KEVSB to systematically identify emerging threats, assess their potential impact, and develop strategic responses that enhance organizational resilience. Moreover, by embedding risk analysis into strategic planning and decision-making processes, KEVSB can improve its adaptability, allowing the organization to respond more effectively to evolving stakeholder expectations, regulatory changes, and energy transition challenges. In this context, risk analysis serves not only as a defensive mechanism but as a critical strategic tool for sustaining operational continuity and long-term viability in an era of accelerating ESG-driven transformation.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Risk analysis is a systematic and structured process that enables organizations to identify, assess, and manage potential uncertainties and threats (Dahmen, 2023) that may affect the achievement of their strategic and operational objectives. Through the continuous identification and evaluation of internal and external risks, organizations gain a clearer understanding of their risk exposure and the potential consequences of adverse events. By proactively analyzing these risks, organizations are better positioned to anticipate possible disruptions, reduce vulnerabilities, and allocate financial, human, and technological resources in a more efficient and targeted manner.

Moreover, risk analysis supports the development of appropriate risk mitigation and control strategies, allowing organizations to minimize negative impacts while maximizing opportunities for growth and improvement (Chen et al., 2023). This process contributes to stronger organizational resilience by enhancing the capacity to withstand, respond to, and recover from unexpected events such as economic fluctuations, technological changes, regulatory shifts, or operational failures. At the same time, it promotes adaptability by encouraging informed and evidence-based decision-making, fostering a culture of risk awareness, and enabling organizations to adjust strategies and operations in response to an increasingly complex, uncertain, and dynamic business environment (refer Table 1).

Table 1: Illustration how risk analysis contributes to resilience and adaptability in a dynamic business environment, using KEVSB

Aspect	Challenges in Dynamic Business Environment	Role of Risk Analysis	Impact on Resilience and Adaptability
Regulatory Compliance	Stricter emission standards, penalties from DOE	Identify regulatory risks, assess compliance gaps, implement mitigation strategies	Ensures plant operations continue smoothly while complying with environmental regulations; reduces operational disruptions
Financial Stability	Shareholder pressure for divestment, early loan settlements	Analyze financial risks, scenario planning, diversify funding sources	Strengthens the company's ability to maintain financial health during market fluctuations
Operational Continuity	Fuel supply interruptions, equipment failure	Evaluate operational risks, develop contingency plans, monitor plant performance	Enhances capacity to maintain continuous energy generation despite unexpected disruptions
Environmental and Sustainability Risks	ESG pressures, rising carbon emissions, potential stranded assets	Assess environmental impact, implement sustainable practices, monitor emissions	Promotes adaptability by aligning operations with global ESG standards and public expectations
Insurance and Liability	Rising premiums, embargoes on coal operations	Quantify risk exposure, engage with insurers, implement risk mitigation strategies	Reduces vulnerability to external financial shocks and ensures continued coverage for operations
Strategic Planning	Market changes, global energy transition trends	Scenario analysis, risk forecasting, decision-making frameworks	Supports long-term adaptability by enabling proactive responses to market and industry shifts

Good practices of risk analysis are the ability of organizations to systematically recognize potential threats and opportunities before they materialize, thereby reducing uncertainty in decision-making processes. Effective risk analysis supports strategic planning by providing a clear understanding of internal and external risk factors that may influence organizational performance and sustainability. It also enhances resource allocation by enabling management to prioritize critical risks and direct attention, finances, and manpower toward areas of greatest impact. Furthermore, sound risk analysis practices strengthen organizational resilience by improving preparedness for adverse events and minimizing operational disruptions. At the same time, they promote adaptability by encouraging continuous monitoring, learning, and adjustment in response to changing environmental conditions, regulatory requirements, and market dynamics. Collectively, these benefits contribute to improved governance, long-term stability, and the achievement of organizational objectives in an increasingly complex and uncertain business environment.

Among the most significant benefits derived from the good practices of risk analysis are enhanced decision-making, competitive advantages, sustainable business, increased stakeholder confidence and business continuity.

Enhance Decision-making

Risk identification is the process of determining potential risks to your business. This can include anything from a natural disaster that could damage your property to a disgruntled employee who could sabotage your systems. As a business owner, you're constantly faced with risks. Some are bigger than others, but they all have the potential to impact your bottom line. That's why it's important to have a system in place for identifying risk. This argument is also supported by the research made by (Aneta Wysokińska Senkus, Justyna Górna, 2021) Organizations should conduct ongoing risk analysis, which should form the basis of all decision-making in the organization. The decision-making process is very complex; therefore, organizations should focus their attention on providing a comprehensive set of data and information that will help reduce the risk of making a wrong decision.

A thorough understanding of ESG risks, derived from KEVSB's risk identification process, will serve as a foundation for sound decision-making that aligns with the organization's sustainability goals. It will help the company to design and implement mitigation actions to reduce the impact which likely may occurred within the stipulated period. They have to react on what actions should be taken to avoid the impact of the penalty imposed by the DOE on the carbon release from its operations. Otherwise, the penalty will impact their bottom line. Nonetheless, in the actual world decision-making procedures may be slowed down by risk analysis because it requires a lot of time and resources. To handle the risks on an operational basis, a committed team is needed. An extensive investment must be allocated specifically for this for it to operate.

Although the risk analysis process requires an extensive investment of time and resources, the potential benefits far outweigh the costs. By identifying and mitigating risks early, organizations can prevent costly mistakes and unforeseen issues. Moreover, technology and streamlined risk analysis tools can help expedite the process.

Competitive advantages

Referring to the research done (Parvaneh Saeidia et al., n.d.) Enterprise Risk Management helps a firm to set up and manage its risks in an integrated manner. In this way, organizations can attain a competitive advantage by managing their risks better than their competition. Moreover, if a company knows more about its industry's risks than its competitors, they are capable of managing those risks properly by actively aggressive actions. They will manage opportunities as well as risks to arrange a vision of both its downsides and upsides. By having a better understanding of internal and external risks, they could be able to adjust and change their conditions faster than their competitors. Likewise, companies that embed ERM into their strategic and business planning process could provide them a support for making risk-informed decisions. In that manner, the organization's chances of achieving financial and non-financial objectives will increase.

This argument is also supported by (Shyamsunder Chitta, Hariprasad Soni, 2023) in their research on "The impact of financial risk management on firm performance: a study in financial management practices. According to the survey done respondents generally expressed a moderate level of agreement (mean of 2.30) with the claim that a well-structured risk management framework may increase a firm's capacity to take advantage of development prospects. The comparatively large standard deviation (1.140) indicates that responses were fairly distributed and that participants had a range of perspectives. The argument is totally correct. To be competitive in the energy generating market, KEVSB must take advantage on the new green energy by demonstrating their commitment to energy transition by exploring other new clean energy alternative such as Biomass & Hydrogen. However, an excessive focus on risk analysis for competitiveness can lead to a conservative approach, which may divert the main focus on the objectives of an organization. While risk analysis is important for competitiveness, it should not be the sole decision driver. Risk has a dynamic nature. Like the ESG agenda it direction may change in the future depending on the situations and needs. Striking a balance between the objective of powering the nations and embracing green initiatives is essential for long term success.

Sustainable business

Today sustainability business has become a hot topic driven by ESG. Many companies try to shift their business with more sustainable approaches. As discussed by (Maryam Sultan, 2022) every operation has negative and positive consequences; the positive consequences are termed as benefits and the negative consequences are termed as risks. Therefore, every organization must have an efficient risk management framework to mitigate existing as well as future risks. The research has evaluated a link between risk management and sustainability. It has been concluded that Risk Management has enabled organizations to enhance their sustainable practices and operations. sustainability is a competitive component in modern organizations. She has added, that the stakeholders have shown more interest in businesses offering enhanced sustainability.

Green risk management has enabled organizations to achieve sustainability; thus, this risk management technique can be considered beneficial for modern organizations. Green risk management has enabled organizations to reduce their financial losses by

reducing the risks and implementing cost-effective sustainable approaches. It has also enhanced the profit-making opportunities of the organizations by improving their reputations among the stakeholders. Green risk management has enabled managers to align sustainable goals with risk mitigation strategies. But to be fully sustainable is not cheap. It requires extensive investments. If KEVSB wants to change from coal-based energy to renewable energy sources such as Hydrogen and Biomass, it will require a large amount of capital. Some modifications on the major equipment need to be done. It is time-consuming. However, the rapid technological changes and innovations and the support of market competitiveness will help to lower the cost in the future.

Enhance Stakeholder Confidence

Effective risk management is a crucial aspect of organizational success, not only in safeguarding operations and financial stability but also in fostering stakeholder confidence. Stakeholders, including investors, employees, customers, and partners, rely on organizations to make informed decisions that protect their interests. When organizations demonstrate a commitment to identifying, assessing, and addressing potential risks, they instil trust and confidence in their stakeholders (Clement Wei Siang, 2022). Numerous studies and articles have highlighted the positive impact of risk management on stakeholder confidence. For instance, a research paper by (Heike Bockius, Nadine Gatzert, 2023) found that organizations that adopt a proactive and integrated approach to risk management tend to experience higher levels of stakeholder satisfaction and loyalty. Another study by (Quratulain Syahirah Awang Ali, Mohd Hafiz Hanafiah, Spencer Hedley Mogindol, 2023) emphasizes the importance of risk analysis as a foundation for building stakeholder trust. By systematically analyzing potential threats and their implications, organizations demonstrate their commitment to safeguarding stakeholder interests.

However, the business environment and risks are dynamic. Even with robust risk management practices, unexpected events can still occur, potentially impacting stakeholder confidence. The other aspect that needs to be considered is every stakeholder has their own objectives and targets to be achieved. For example, in the case of KEVSB, insurance companies as a stakeholder may use ESG agenda to increase their insurance premium. Even though KEVSB has successfully demonstrates its energy transition plan it will not guarantee the insurance premium will be lower down. Insurance have their own KPI for whatever investment they make. Other factors such as claim history and current inflation will be the factors in their considerations to increase the insurance premium. However, good relations with stakeholders and mutual understanding may help reduce the gap.

STAKEHOLDER PERSPECTIVES AND THEIR INFLUENCE ON RISK MANAGEMENT IN THE ENERGY TRANSITION

In the context of modern energy systems, especially during the global transition toward cleaner energy, stakeholder perspectives play a critical role in shaping organizational risk management practices. Stakeholders ranging from regulators, investors, and lenders to customers, insurers, and local communities exert significant influence over how energy companies identify, assess, and mitigate risks.

Stakeholder expectations compel organizations to adopt a proactive and multi-dimensional approach to risk management. For example, energy companies like Kapar Energy Ventures Sdn Bhd may incorporate ESG-related risks alongside traditional operational and financial risks. This includes; conducting scenario analyses for carbon regulations or energy policy changes, investing in emission reduction technologies to meet regulatory and investor expectations, engaging stakeholders in decision-making to enhance transparency and diversifying energy portfolios to mitigate the risk of stranded assets.

By aligning risk management practices with stakeholder perspectives, energy organizations not only mitigate potential threats but also enhance their resilience, adaptability, and long-term competitiveness during the energy transition.

Business Continuity

Risk management is a key process that contributes to the improvement of efficiency and the achievement of the organization's goals. Risk management is a determinant of the implementation of the assumptions of sustainable development in the organization, and thus the improvement of economic, social and environmental security. Currently, the approach to risk management is evolving towards ensuring business continuity. Business continuity management is a holistic management process that aims to identify potential impacts on an organization and create conditions for building resilience to them (Aneta Wysokińska Senkus, Justyna Górna, 2021).

Business continuity is the ability of an organization to maintain critical operations during and after a disruptive event. A disruptive event can be anything that causes a significant interruption to an organization's ability to function, such as a natural disaster, a cyberattack, or a power outage. Business continuity planning (BCP) is the process of identifying and evaluating potential threats to an organization and developing plans to mitigate those threat (Tamara Rađenović, Snežana Živković, 2023). The relationship between business continuity and risk analysis is close and complementary.

This argument is also supported by (Deloitte, n.d.), Organizational needs for business resilience plans and tools are increasing, as they help organizations to prevent risks, and to recover, replace, or rebuild critical business processes in the wake of disruptions. Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) and Business Continuity Management (BCM) are capabilities and disciplines that contribute to the resiliency. While ERM and BCM share the common goals of risk management, the two are often viewed as distinct activities and managed in silos.

Integration between ERM and BCM can improve strategic alignment and better coordination. Business sustainability relies on organizations' resources and the continuity of its operations. Organizations need to design and implement BCM mechanism coming from certain events that can negatively affect the organization and lead to a crisis. Organizations must have the capability to plan and respond to incidents that may affect their operations to keep the business running and reduce the impact of disruptions. With the pressures faced from inside and outside the country, KEVSB's business continuity and resilience are doubtful and uncertain. KEVSB must be adaptable the changes of energy generation landscape which driven by ESG agenda.

With the holistic risk analysis by analyzing the likelihood and impact of the associated risk emerged from ESG agenda, it can be treated through risk reduction or avoidance. However, the successful integration of risk management and business continuity management hinges on the unwavering support and commitment of the workforce. Without adequate training and awareness, staff may not possess the necessary knowledge and skills to effectively operate and respond during crisis scenarios. However, by investing in comprehensive training programs and fostering a culture of risk awareness, organizations can empower their employees to contribute meaningfully to the achievement of business continuity objectives.

CONCLUSION

Risk analysis is essential because it enables organizations to systematically identify potential threats and vulnerabilities, evaluate their potential impact, and develop strategies to mitigate or manage them effectively. By providing a comprehensive understanding of the risks associated with different courses of action, risk analysis enhances decision-making and can confer competitive advantages. Furthermore, it contributes to business sustainability and continuity by allowing organizations to anticipate potential disruptions and implement proactive measures. This proactive approach also strengthens the organization's reputation and fosters trust and support from various stakeholders, including investors, regulators, and customers. Supporting this perspective, research by Shyamsunder Chitta and Hariprasad Soni (2023) demonstrated that, on average, survey respondents moderately agreed (mean of 2.75) that robust risk management practices can enhance a company's resilience during periods of economic uncertainty.

However, it is important to recognize that organizational resilience and adaptability extend beyond risk management alone. These concepts encompass broader factors such as organizational culture, leadership effectiveness, strategic planning, and the ability to respond and adapt to change. Building resilience, therefore, requires a holistic approach that integrates multiple dimensions of organizational functioning. Complementary strategies such as investing in employee training and development, fostering a culture of innovation, cultivating strong stakeholder relationships, and maintaining financial flexibility play equally critical roles in enhancing an organization's capacity to withstand shocks and thrive in dynamic business environments. In this context, risk analysis serves as a foundational mechanism, but its effectiveness is amplified when embedded within a broader framework of strategic and adaptive organizational practices.

REFERENCES

Aneta Wysokińska Senkus, Justyna Górna. (2021). *Towards sustainable development_ risk management for organizational security*. http://jssidoi.org/esc/home

Clement Wei Siang. (2022). An Understanding of How Stakeholder Relationships May Affect the Reputation of a Corporation. *Jounal of Digital Marketing & Communication*, *Volume 2(1), 2022, 20-24*. https://doi.org/10.53623/ jdmc.v2i1.71

Chen, S., Song, Y., & Gao, P. (2023). Environmental, social, and governance (ESG) performance and financial outcomes: Analyzing the impact of ESG on financial performance. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 345. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2023.118829

Crawford, J., & Jabbour, M. (2024). The relationship between enterprise risk management and managerial judgement in decision-making: A systematic literature review. *In International Journal of Management Reviews* (Vol. 26, Issue 1). https://doi.org/10.1111/ijmr.12337

Dahmen, P. (2023). Organizational resilience as a key property of enterprise risk management in response to novel and severe crisis events. *Risk Management and Insurance Review*, 26 (2). https://doi.org/10.1111/rmir.12245

Deloitte. (n.d.). *Tying the Knot: Integrating ERM and BCM to Improve Resiliency*.

Heike Bockius, Nadine Gatzert. (2023). A literature review on dimensions, assessment, value relevance, and improvement levers. *European Management Journal Xxx (Xxxx) Xxx*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.emj.2023.02.002

Maryam Sultan. (2022). Green Risk Management in Promoting Business Sustainability Practices and Development. *Research Gate Publication*. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/359

Ministry of Economy Malaysia. (2023). *National Energy Transition Roadmap*.

Miriam Breitenstein1, Carl-Philipp Anke1, Duc Khuong Nguyen 2, 3, Thomas Walther1.(2021). *Stranded Asset Risk and Political Uncertainty: The Impact of the Coal Phase- Out on the German Coal Industry*.

Parvaneh Saeidia, , Sayyedeh Parisa Saeidi a, , Saudah Sofian a, , Sayedeh Parastoo Saeidi b, & Mehrbakhsh Nilashic, Abbas Mardani. (n.d.). The impact of enterprise ris management on competitive advantage by moderating role of information technology. *Elsevier*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csi.2018.11.00

Quratulain Syahirah Awang Ali, Mohd Hafiz Hanafiah, Spencer Hedley Mogindol. (2023). Systematic literature review of Business Continuity Management (BCM) practices: Integrating organisational resilience and performance in small and medium enterprises (SMEs) BCM framework. *Elsevier*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2023.104135

Rioshar Yarveisy, Chuan Gao, Faisal Khan. (2020). A simple yet robust resilience assessment metrics. *Elsevier*. www.elsevier.com/locate/ress

Ritesh Jain, Fritz Nauck, Thomas Poppensieker, and Olivia White. (2020). *Meeting the future: Dynamic risk management for uncertain times*.

Shyamsunder Chitta, Hariprasad Soni. (2023). The impact of financial risk management on firm performance: A study in financial management practices. *Revista GeSec*, *ISSN: 2178-9010*. http://doi.org/10.7769/gesec.v14i10.2736

Srinivas, K. (2019). Process of Risk Management. In A. G. Hessami (Ed.), *Perspectives on Risk, Assessment and Management Paradigms*. IntechOpen. https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.80804

Stephen Zhao, Alan Alexandroff. (n.d.). Current and future struggles to eliminate coal. *Elsevier*.

Tamara Rađenović, Snežana Živković. (2023). The effectiveness of Business Continuity Management System in Enterprises. *Research Gate Publication*. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/366946784

UNFCCC. (2015). Paris Agreement 2015. United Nation.